

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,944	11/14/2003	Takaci Sasaki	101136-00103	7420
4372	7590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			KORNAKOV, MICHAIL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGI	ON, DC 20036		1746	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/706,944	SASAKI ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Kornakov	1746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Void abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mail.	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below):			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	00 110 1 E DOIOW),			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		ially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	S saparamy ramos or m	idily rojected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesting the application in condition for allowance becaused by the Evaminar in the final wint.	Euduse, see Continuation Shoot				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	idae it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		- F F			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 19,20.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:					
М. Код		Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner			
Palent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 1746			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment eliminates the limitation of the source of etching gas that was previously introduced, considered, and rejected under different grounds in the final office action. Since Applicants request for reconsideration is based on the presence of such "source of etching gas" limitation, this is confusing and does not present a better ground for appeal.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is based on the previously introduced (after the first office action) amendment that is presently deleted. It is further noted that claims 19 and 20 recite the clause" if an etching gas used..." thus allowing the whole limitation to be optional.